

**HI SPIN TEMPERATURES AND HEATING  
REQUIREMENTS IN OUTER REGIONS OF  
DISK GALAXIES**

**Edvige Corbelli<sup>1</sup> and Edwin E. Salpeter<sup>2</sup>**

Preprint n. 24/93

<sup>1</sup>Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri,  
Largo E. Fermi 5, I-50125 Firenze (Italy)

<sup>2</sup>Center for Radiophysics and Space Research,  
Cornell University, Ithaca NY, 14853 (USA)

To appear in The Astrophysical Journal (Dec. 10, 1993).

## ABSTRACT

We show how to use 21-cm emission and absorption studies to estimate the heat inputs to the neutral gas in low pressure environments, such as in outer disks of spiral galaxies, in galactic halos or in intergalactic space. For a range of model parameters we calculate the gas kinetic and spin temperatures ( $T_K$  and  $T_S$ ) and the relation between  $T_S$  and the heat input to the gas. We outline the conditions for a “two phase medium” to exist. We find that although  $T_S$  can be much smaller than  $T_K$ ,  $T_S$  is always  $\gg 3$  K for column densities greater than  $5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . This excludes the possibility that relevant HI masses at the periphery of galaxies are invisible at 21-cm in emission. Therefore sharp HI edges, observed in outer disks near column densities  $N_l \sim 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , cannot be “fictitious” edges due to a sudden decrease of the 21-cm brightness. The outermost interstellar gas in a disk galaxy is more directly affected by external processes and in this paper we estimate the intensity of the extragalactic background at energies close to 0.1 keV by comparing our theoretical results with HI emission/absorption studies. We take into account the possibility that some energy produced in the inner regions affects the energy balance in outer regions. We find that in the absence of any other local heat source QSO dominated background models are still compatible with the spin temperature limits derived for the two best documented HI emission/absorption studies in outer regions. However, if future observations should establish that the spin temperature is as high as 1000 K, then relevant energy inputs from local sources become necessary.

Subject headings: Cosmic Background Radiation – Galaxies:ISM – Radio Sources: 21 cm Radiation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Neutral hydrogen exists outside the stellar region of spiral galaxies as extended disks, coplanar or tilted with respect to the innermost luminous disk. Isolated concentrations, clouds or plumes have sometimes been detected well outside the HI disk (see for example Giovanelli & Haynes 1988 for a review). These blobs may indicate a recent disturbance in the HI distribution due to tidal interactions with a companion or to a strong burst of activity in the inner disk. In our Galaxy smaller blobs of HI, closer to the luminous disk, and known as high velocity clouds (hereafter HVC), have also been detected (Giovanelli 1980).

The physical conditions of the gas in the outer regions of spiral galaxies are not constrained as they are in the interstellar medium. In the inner disk of our Galaxy, where star formation takes place, the following conditions hold: *(i)* neutral hydrogen column densities are of order  $N_{HI} \sim 2 \times 10^{20} - 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , and extragalactic UV or soft X-ray photons with energies between 13.6 and 200 eV hardly penetrate this layer; *(ii)* even without magnetic pressure and bulk motion ram pressure, the gas thermal pressure is fairly large,  $P/k \sim 3000 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$  ( $k$  is the Boltzmann constant); *(iii)* neutral hydrogen exists in a cold phase at the kinetic temperature  $T_K \sim 80$  and in a warm phase at  $T_K \sim 8,000 \text{ K}$  which fills a non trivial part of our ISM ( $\geq 30\%$  Brinks 1990; Knapp 1990). The ionization of the gas in the midplane might be due to O and B starlight (Kulkarni & Heiles 1988), and the mechanical energy input to the gas from O - star winds and from blastwaves produced by supernovae (McKee & Ostriker 1977). However these hot stars cannot easily account for the diffuse warm component observed at a few hundreds parsecs above the galactic midplane (Reynolds 1990).

In outer disks, or in high velocity clouds, stars are absent and the ionizing flux as well as the mechanical energy input, from the stellar disk of the galaxy might be very small. If chains of supernovae feed galactic fountains which reach the halo directly (Heiles 1987; Corbelli & Salpeter 1988; MacLow & McCray 1988; Martin & Bowyer 1990) or if there is hydromagnetic wave dissipation (Ferriere, Zweibel & Shull 1988) then the non radiative energy input into the outer regions are important. Ionizing flux from extragalactic sources is likely to be present due to thermal free-free emission from hot gas, to quasars, or to more exotic sources like dark matter decay (Melott, McKay, & Ralston 1988; Sciamia 1990) or hidden X-UV emitters. The far UV and soft X-ray emission from quasars is of interest

here because we mainly consider regions with  $N_{HI} \leq 5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and photons below 0.2 keV, which do not affect the inner disk, penetrate these smaller column densities and give a large ionization rate. We do not discuss which ionizing fluxes and non radiative heat inputs are more likely on physical grounds but we parametrize the overall ionizing flux and the non radiative energy input separately and calculate their effects on the HI gas. Using HI observations we can then investigate indirectly the energetic environment of spiral galaxies. The absence of stars in outer regions makes self-gravity of the gas layer an important force which has to be considered, together with dark matter and coronal gas, in equilibrium models. Nevertheless we expect a much lower thermal pressure than that measured in the inner disk, as suggested by the flaring of the outer disk observed in external galaxies and in our own Galaxy (Merrifield 1992).

HI absorption studies both on outer disks and on HVC, show that only a very small fraction of the gas has low enough temperature to be detected in absorption (Corbelli & Schneider 1990, hereafter CS; Colgan, Salpeter, & Terzian 1990, hereafter CST; Wakker, Vijfschaft, & Schwarz 1991; Carilli, van Gorkom, & Stoke 1989; Carilli & van Gorkom 1992; Schneider & Corbelli 1993). However sensitive searches of HI emission at some locations where no absorption measurements are possible, indicate that there is a transitional HI column density  $N_l$  such that appreciable emission is seen for  $N_B \gtrsim N_l$  but little emission is seen for  $N_B < N_l$ . We use the symbol  $N_B$  to indicate the column density derived directly from the 21-cm brightness temperature;  $N_B = N_{HI}$  when  $T_S$  is well above the background radiation temperature,  $T_R$ , otherwise  $N_B < N_{HI}$ . The transitional column density  $N_l$  is of the order of  $5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  for high velocity clouds and is of the order of  $2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  for outer disks (CST; Corbelli, Schneider, & Salpeter 1989) or slightly smaller (Hoffman *et al.* 1993). In this paper we discuss (and then eliminate) the possibility that, due to low pressure conditions and to low photoionization rate in outer regions, when  $N_{HI}$  gets below  $N_l$ , the spin temperature strongly deviates from the gas kinetic temperature and, while  $T_S$  approaches  $T_R$ ,  $N_B$  is depressed with respect to  $N_{HI}$ . Fictitious HI edges would then appear if one assumes that  $N_B \simeq N_{HI}$ . The lack of HI absorption lines in outer regions already suggests that “subthermal effects” cannot hide appreciable HI masses, but we shall show more quantitatively that subthermal effects are not responsible for HI edge appearances. A totally different possibility, and a likely explanation for HI edges, is that they are sharp HI-HII transitions due to some ionizing flux. This will be analyzed in detail by Corbelli and Salpeter (1993, hereafter Paper II). Nevertheless due to the uncertainties

in the evaluation of HI masses in extragalactic objects where no absorption measures are possible (Giovanelli & Haynes 1991; Schneider *et al.* 1989) and also to the importance of subthermal effects for HI absorption lines, we shall present here a full calculation of the spin temperature for several heating inputs outside the galaxy.

In Section 2 we introduce some models for the extragalactic soft X-ray background radiation and in Section 3 we display the equilibrium equations and parameters used for modeling the gas distribution outside the stellar disk. Section 4 shows results relative to subthermal effects in the 21-cm emission line and in Section 5 we derive in more generality the relation between the kinetic and spin temperature as a function of the background radiation intensity, and of additional non ionizing local heat sources. We outline the conditions of the HI in a low density medium pervaded with decaying neutrinos in Section 6. The last Section summarizes constraints on the energetic environment of spiral galaxies which can be set by comparing our theoretical results with 21 cm observations.

## 2. LOCAL ENERGY INPUTS AND THE EXTRAGALACTIC SOFT X-RAY BACKGROUND

As discussed in the introduction, there may be energy inputs which are non radiative and non ionizing and which may vary from one galaxy to another or from one region to another (tidal interaction, hydromagnetic wave heating, fountains, etc.). We shall characterize these inputs using a single number,  $E_{nr}$ , the heat per hydrogen atom per second due to non photoionizing sources in units of eV s<sup>-1</sup>, which should be added to the heat input from ionizing radiation,  $E_r$ . The ionization-recombination equilibrium depends on the photon spectrum  $N(E)$ . Below we summarize the known upper or lower limits to the extragalactic background radiation at zero redshift and then we will parameterize various model assumptions.

The UV and soft X-ray background has been reviewed by Bowyer (1991) and by McCammon, & Sanders (1990). Above  $\sim 2$  keV a uniform extragalactic X-ray flux of power law form is known to exist (Schwartz 1978):

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = 7.7 E_{keV}^{-1.4} \quad \text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1} \quad (1)$$

Between 2 keV and 0.5 keV the total X-ray background is about  $3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$  (Shanks *et al.* 1991; Wu *et al.* 1991), higher than an extrapolation of eq. (1) to this energy range, but the extragalactic contribution is uncertain because of local emission, and it is not measurable directly at all below 0.3 keV because of absorption by the local HI disk. The space density and luminosity function for quasars are known for redshifts  $z < 2$  (see e.g. Hartwick and Schade 1990) and their contribution to the flux between 2 keV and 0.5 keV has been estimated. Preliminary analysis of ROSAT deep survey images shows that quasars can account for at least 20% of the measured X-ray background below 2 keV (Hasinger, Schmidt, & Trumper 1991; Wu, & Anderson 1992) and therefore we consider  $5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$  as a definite lower limit for the extragalactic background intensity between 2 keV and 0.5 keV. An extrapolation of eq. (1) down to 1.5 keV yields this minimum expected intensity which we consider in examining the possibility of strong subthermal effects in emission.

In this paper we use a few spectral models of the extragalactic background radiation down to 0.1 keV. If this background is dominated by quasars, its spectral shape in the absence of attenuation is approximately of the form:

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = I \times E_{\text{keV}}^{-2.4} \quad \text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1} \quad (2)$$

which we shall refer to as the “quasar spectrum”. For  $I \simeq 15$  the spectrum described by eq. (2) corresponds to a flux density  $\simeq 4 \times 10^{-23} (E_{\text{eV}}/13.6)^{-1.4} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$ . This flux at zero redshift was suggested by Sargent *et al.* (1979) and connects the so called “blue bump”, observed in QSO spectra, with the cosmic background flux observed above 2 keV. In a recent paper Madau (1992) estimates a lower value of the integrated UV cosmic background from observed QSOs. He takes into account the possible attenuation of the flux due to intervening Lyman- $\alpha$  clouds. However above 0.1 keV attenuation is probably unimportant and Madau’s estimate of the unattenuated flux down to a cutoff energy of 0.1 keV is given by the quasar spectrum of equation (2) with  $I \simeq 5$ .

We shall consider three different cases for flux and energy inputs. For Case A we assume the minimum extragalactic X-ray flux in order to compute the most extreme subthermal effects. We use a more likely flux for Case B and Case C and show how to use HI spin temperature measurements to constrain the extragalactic background flux or the local heating just outside disk galaxies.

Case A: We set  $E_{nr}=0$  and use the flux described by equation (1) with a lower cut-

off energy  $E_c = 1.5$  keV or  $E_c = 0.5$  keV (in this last case about 60% of the observed background flux between 2 and 0.5 keV is of extragalactic origin).

Case B: We consider  $E_{nr} \neq 0$  as an adjustable parameter and use a low quasar flux, namely equation (1) with a cut off energy  $E_c = 0.1$  keV.

Case C: We add a soft X-ray component in the energy range  $E_c < E < 1.5$  keV, to the cosmic spectrum given by eq. (1). The following form describes the spectral law which we use in this case :

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 7.7 \times E_{keV}^{-1.4} & E \geq 1.5 \text{ keV} \\ A E_{keV}^{-b} & 0.5 \leq E < 1.5 \text{ keV} \\ I E_{keV}^{-s} & E_c \leq E < 0.5 \text{ keV} \\ 0 & E < E_c \end{array} \right\} \text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{keV}^{-1} \quad (3)$$

where  $A$  and  $b$  are constants determined by requiring  $dN/dE$  to be continuous at 0.5 and at 1.5 keV and  $I$  is an adjustable parameter. In this paper we use  $E_c = 0.1$  keV,  $s = 2.4$ , and  $E_{nr} = 0$  unless stated otherwise. The quasar spectrum in eq. (2) can be represented to a good approximation by eq. (3) using  $s = 2.4$  (and  $I \sim 5$  for Madau's intensity).

In Fig. 1 we show  $dN/dE$  for some spectra used. The background spectrum at energies close to the Lyman limit will not be of interest in this paper because here we consider the heating of slabs with HI column densities  $\gtrsim 0.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . However the complete absence of photons below 0.1 keV, is an oversimplification; some photons below 0.1 keV will penetrate the gas with low HI column density and increase the ionization rate slightly. In Section 6 we discuss  $T_S$  and  $T_K$  for the case of monochromatic photons with  $E \sim 14.5$  eV which are produced locally throughout the disk.

### 3. CHARACTERISTIC PARAMETERS OF HI GAS OUTSIDE A GALAXY

The brightness temperature of the 21-cm emission line,  $T_B$ , is related to the column density of neutral hydrogen along the line of sight,  $N_{HI}$ :

$$T_B \propto N_B \equiv \chi_B N_{HI}, \quad \chi_B \equiv \frac{T_S - T_R}{T_S} \quad (4)$$

where  $T_R = 2.73$  K is the temperature of the microwave background radiation,  $T_S$  is the spin temperature, defined through the population of the two hyperfine levels of neutral

hydrogen (Field 1958). The factor  $\chi_B$  is close to unity if the kinetic temperature,  $T_K$  is well above the cosmic background temperature, and the medium is in LTE ( $T_S \simeq T_K$ ). In this case the brightness temperature is directly proportional to  $N_{HI}$ . The spin temperature is important for the optical depth at the line center  $\tau_c$  which can be determined through absorption measurements

$$\tau_c = \frac{5.14 \times 10^{19}}{\omega} \frac{N_{HI}}{T_S} \quad (5)$$

where  $\omega$  is the width of the line at half maximum in  $\text{km s}^{-1}$ . Most absorption and emission studies give  $T_S$  and  $N_{HI}$  and not the kinetic temperature of the medium because  $\omega$  might not be proportional to  $\sqrt{T_K}$ , like if there is turbulence in the medium or the absorption line is unresolved. If  $\omega$  is unknown we use the following value:

$$\omega \simeq \max(4, 0.21\sqrt{T_K}) \text{ km s}^{-1} \quad (6)$$

based on the line width of the few detected absorption lines (Rubin, Thonnard, & Ford 1982; Carilli & van Gorkom 1992). Deviations of  $T_S$  from  $T_K$  are especially important in outer regions where low densities slow down the collisional excitation of hyperfine levels of H. We calculate  $T_S$  for all cases in this paper using equation (15) of Field (1958). We consider Lyman- $\alpha$  pumping through photons generated by  $H^+ - e$  recombinations (Spitzer 1978), by bound-bound transitions due to thermalized electrons (Spitzer 1978), and by bound-bound transitions due to non thermalized electrons coming from H photoionization (Shull & Van Steenberg 1985). Following Deguchi & Watson (1985) we set the Lyman- $\alpha$  temperature  $T_L$  equal to  $T_K$  and use the expression of Bonilha *et al.* (1979) for the number of Lyman- $\alpha$  photon scatters inside the cloud, disregarding the possibility of strong velocity gradients. For the collisional de-excitation probability of the hyperfine levels via neutral and ionized H atoms, and via electrons, we use the expression given by Smith (1966). For  $T_R \ll T_S \ll T_K$  the gas is still far from thermodynamic equilibrium but the factor  $\chi_B$  is very close to unity. This means that subthermal effects show up much more easily in absorption rather than in emission measures, because emission depends on the ratio between the population of the two hyperfine levels which remains  $\simeq 3$ . Absorption depends on their population difference which can vary by a much larger factor.

The gas will be considered distributed in a slab, with the vertical extension much smaller than the horizontal one. This is a good representation for extended HI disks or flat clouds in the outer parts of galaxies. For more spherical blobs our calculation will be indicative



but not rigorously correct. The vertical distribution of gas density depends on the ratio of dark matter to gas and on any contribution of magnetic fields to pressure (or to buoyancy). These effects will be discussed in detail in Paper II; in this paper we assume that the ideal gas law connects pressure,  $P$ , to gas kinetic temperature,  $T_K$ . Furthermore we shall use here the single slab approximation. For fixed values of the HI column density we compute the kinetic temperature and subthermal effects at a height  $z = z_{1/2}$  above the central plane such that half the gas mass per unit area lies between  $-z_{1/2}$  and  $+z_{1/2}$ . The attenuation of the extragalactic flux due to photoionization of HI, HeI, and HeII, is computed assuming that the fractional ionization of HeI and HeII at all levels is the same as at  $z_{1/2}$ .

The real pressure will have an additional term due to the external pressure,  $P_{ext}$ , and to the compression from a dark matter distribution. Charlton, Salpeter & Hogan (1993) estimate that the purely extragalactic value for  $P_{ext}/k$  is less than  $\sim 4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$  but some galactic coronal gas is likely to increase the effective value of  $P_{ext}$ . For a spherical halo which gives a rotational velocity of 100 km/s at  $R = 15 \text{ kpc}$ , the dark matter compression is equivalent to about  $70 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$ . Since in this paper we use the uniform slab approximation we mimic the effects of  $P_{ext}$ , dark matter and eventually of some internal non-thermal pressure in one single term,  $P_0$ . We use the ideal gas law and we consider  $0.1 \leq P_0/k \leq 500 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$ . The following expression describes the total pressure we use for a given column density of gas (see Spitzer 1942, Ibañez and di Sigalotti 1984):

$$\frac{P}{k} = \frac{P_0}{k} + \frac{P_{sg}}{k} \simeq \frac{P_0}{k} + \frac{\pi G m_H^2}{2.3k} N_{tot}^2 (1 + 4h_{He})^2 \simeq \frac{P_0}{k} + 0.36 \left( \frac{N_{tot}}{10^{19}} \right)^2 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K} \quad (7)$$

where  $G$  is the gravitational constant,  $m_H$  is the hydrogen mass,  $h_{He} \simeq 0.1$  is the helium abundance relative to hydrogen, and  $N_{tot}$  is the total (HI+HII) hydrogen column density (i.e. twice the gas surface density above the equatorial plane).

We omit dust grains entirely and we assume that all elements heavier than He have only half the solar abundance (unless specified differently) and that there is enough UV light below 13.6 eV, from the background or scattered outside the stellar disk, to keep most of the carbon, silicon and iron singly ionized. For the range of pressures that we consider this is a good assumption (Boyer 1991). Then the heating rate due to photoionization of CI does not depend on the UV photon intensity but only on the mean photon energy and we use the value  $E_C = 2\text{eV}$  for the excess energy. In the temperature range that we consider ( $T_R < T_K < 17,000 \text{ K}$ ) cooling is dominated by collisional excitation of CII, SiII, FeII by electrons and HI atoms at low temperatures, and by excitation of the  $n=2$  level of HI at

higher temperatures. However cooling by free-free emission and by HII recombinations are considered as well.  $P_0/k \geq 0.1$  ensures the recombination time for HII to be shorter than  $10^{10}$  years.

For the ionization - recombination equilibrium of HI, HeI, and HeII we use the “on the spot approximation” with the photoionization cross section of HI, HeI and HeII as given by Spitzer (1978) and Brown (1971). We assume that no photons escape from hydrogen recombinations to the  $n=1$  level (nor from helium recombinations to  $n \geq 1$ ) because they are absorbed elsewhere by other HI atoms. Also, due to low volume densities in outer regions we can assume to a good approximation that all the HeI and HeII recombination photons are absorbed by HI atoms (Osterbrock 1989). We include secondary electrons, the heat, and the Lyman alpha photons produced by primary electrons created via photoionization of HI, HeI and HeII by using results of a Montecarlo simulation (Shull & Van Steenberg 1985). No secondary electrons are considered when primary electrons are created via collisions with other atoms. This and the omission of collisional ionization of helium atoms are good assumptions since we consider only temperatures below 17,000 K. Unfortunately the complete set of results by Shull & Van Steenberg (1985) is given as function of the fractional ionization of H only for X-ray energies well above 0.1 keV. For lower energies they show the fractional energy deposited as heat and the number of secondary electrons ejected from hydrogen only for a discrete number of cases. We use a subroutine which interpolates their results and computes also the number of secondary electrons ejected from helium atoms,  $\Phi_{He}$ , assuming that  $\Phi_{He}/\Phi_H$  is the same as at higher energies. A similar assumption is used for the fractional energy of the primary which goes into Lyman- $\alpha$  excitation for hydrogen (which will be relevant in computing subthermal effects). We introduce the following symbols:

$f_1(T_K), f_2(T_K)$  are cooling efficiencies due to impact of neutral hydrogen ( $f_1$ ) and electrons ( $f_2$ ) with heavier elements (the contribution of each element has been weighted by its abundance with respect to H).

$f_3(T_K)$  is the cooling efficiency for free-free emission and HI recombinations and  $f_4(T_K)$  is the cooling efficiency due to HI impact with free electrons.

$x_H, x_{He},$  and  $x_{He2}$  are the fractional ionization of HI, HeI and HeII respectively.

$h_{He} = 0.1, h_C = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$  are the helium and carbon abundance by number with respect to hydrogen.

$\alpha_2$  is the HII recombination coefficient excluding captures to the  $n=1$  level (Spitzer 1978).

$\alpha_{He}$   $\alpha_{He2}$  are the HeI and HeII recombination coefficient (Spitzer 1978).

$\alpha_C$  is the total recombination coefficient for CI which we assume to be equal to that of HI and  $E_C = 2\text{eV}$  is the heat released for each carbon ionization.

$\gamma_H$  is the HI ionization rate due to collisions with free electrons.

$\xi_{a1}$ ,  $\Phi_{a1,a2}$  and  $E_{a1}$  are respectively: the numbers of photoionizations per second per atom of type  $a1$ , the number of secondary electrons created by interaction of type  $a2$  atoms with primary electrons coming from photoionization of type  $a1$  atoms, and the heat released for each photoionization of type  $a1$  atoms. The symbol  $a1$  can be H, He, or He2 to indicate HI, HeI and HeII atoms, the symbol  $a2$  can be only H or He because secondary electrons from HeII atoms are not considered. We compute these quantities at  $z_{1/2}$  for isotropic background radiations described in Section 2, attenuated by the overlaying and underlaying layers of gas.

$n_H \simeq P/\{kT_K(1+x_H+h_{He}+h_{He}x_{He}+h_{He}x_{He2}+h_C)\}$  is the volume density of H atoms.

$n_e \simeq n_H(x_H+h_{He}x_{He}+h_{He}x_{He2}+h_C)$  is the volume density of free electrons.

The ionization equilibrium equations for H and He are then:

$$n_e \left( \frac{x_H}{1-x_H} \alpha_2 - \gamma_H \right) = \xi_H (1 + \Phi_{H,H} + \Phi_{H,He}) + \frac{1-x_{He}-x_{He2}}{1-x_H} h_{He} \xi_{He} \\ \times (1 + \Phi_{He,H} + \Phi_{He,He}) + \frac{x_{He}}{1-x_H} h_{He} \xi_{He2} (1 + \Phi_{He2,H} + \Phi_{He2,He}) \equiv \tilde{\zeta} \quad (8)$$

$$n_e x_{He} \alpha_{He} = (1-x_{He}-x_{He2}) \xi_{He} (1 + \Phi_{He,He}) + \frac{(1-x_H)}{h_{He}} \xi_H \Phi_{H,He} \\ + x_{He} \xi_{He2} \Phi_{He2,He} \quad (9)$$

$$n_e x_{He2} \alpha_{He2} = x_{He2} \xi_{He2} \quad (10)$$

The right hand side of equation (8) gives  $\tilde{\zeta}$ , the total number of ionizations per second for a neutral H atom. If the slab is optically thin to the extragalactic background eq. (8) gives  $\zeta$  the total number of ionizations per second for an unshielded neutral H atom. For Case A with a lower cut-off at 1.5 keV  $\zeta \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{-20}$  H ionizations  $\text{s}^{-1}$ , while  $\zeta \sim 3 \times 10^{-17}$  H ionizations  $\text{s}^{-1}$  for Case C with  $E_c = 0.1$  keV,  $s = 2.4$  and  $I \simeq 5$ . The ionization rate  $\zeta$  for Case B is about the same as for Case C with  $s = 2.4$  and  $I \approx 1.2$ . In paper II we shall extend the photon spectrum down to the Lyman edge, 13.6 eV, which results in much larger values for  $\zeta$ .

The energy equation below describes the balance between the cooling rate and the heating rate per H atom due to photoionizations by extragalactic background photons or to additional local heat sources ( $E_{nr}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}
n_H \left\{ (1 - x_H) f_1(T_K) + [f_2(T_K) + x_H f_3(T_K) + (1 - x_H) f_4(T_K)] \frac{n_e}{n_H} \right\} \\
= (1 - x_H) E_H \xi_H + h_{He} (1 - x_{He} - x_{He2}) E_{He} \xi_{He} \\
+ h_{He} x_{He2} E_{He2} \xi_{He2} + h_C E_C \alpha_C(T_K) n_e + E_{nr}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The left hand side is the cooling per H atom minus the heat due to carbon ionization. The right hand side is the heating per H atom due to photoionization of hydrogen and helium plus the non radiative heating per atom,  $E_{nr}$ . To simplify the solution of the above set of equations we set  $x_{He2} = 0$  at low temperatures while at high temperatures we omit the cooling function  $f_1$ . Given  $N_{HI}$ ,  $P_0$ , and the spectral index of the background radiation we solve the above equations by expressing  $x_{He}$ ,  $x_{He2}$  and  $P/\xi$  as functions of  $x_H$  using three of the four equations given above. For each value of  $T_K$  then we need to solve numerically one single equation of type  $f(x_H) = 0$ .

-Case A: due to the high energies of the incoming photons, and to the low intensity of the radiation (which for this case is fixed) the gas is optically thin with negligible fractional ionization of HeII. Solutions of  $f(x_H) = 0$  are found assuming constant values of  $\Phi_{a1,a2}$ ,  $E_{a1}$  and  $P$ . Since the degree of H ionization is low,  $P$  is determined only by  $P_0$  and  $N_{HI}$ . We calculate  $\Phi_{a1,a2}$  and  $E_{a1}$  (which are functions of  $x_H$ ) with an iterative procedure.  $T_K$  is determined by the value of  $P/\xi$  if the heating rate due to H and He photoionization is stronger than that due to carbon photoionization.

-Case B: for each value of  $T_K$  we guess a value of  $E_{nr}$  and solve iteratively  $f(x_H) = 0$  to find self consistent values of  $x_H$ ,  $\Phi_{a1,a2}$ ,  $E_{a1}$ , and  $P$ . The attenuated photon flux (or  $\xi$ ) required at  $z_{1/2}$  can be calculated. But since for this case the incident photon flux is fixed, we know what  $\xi$  should be for a given  $x_H$ . We adjust  $E_{nr}$  accordingly and repeat the calculation until we get the correct value of  $\xi$ .

-Case C: the value of  $x_H$ , found by solving  $f(x_H) = 0$ , determines  $P/\xi$  and therefore  $I$ . The correct solution is found after a few iterations because the spectral distribution of the extragalactic radiation changes when the parameter  $I$  varies with  $T_K$ .

For each case we then determine the spin temperature, as described at the beginning of this Section.

#### 4. SUBTHERMAL EFFECTS IN THE 21-cm EMISSION LINE

If the ionizing flux and the pressure  $P$  are moderate or small, the spin temperature  $T_S$  is depressed below the gas kinetic value  $T_K$  and this subthermal effect increases the 21-cm absorption (see Section 5). If flux and pressure were extremely small,  $T_S$  could be depressed so drastically as to approach  $T_R$ , in which case the observed emission quantity  $N_B$  would be much less than the actual column density  $N_{HI}$ . As mentioned in the introduction, “HI edges” are observed at  $N_B \sim N_l$  and the question arises whether HI could be “hidden” outside such edges. In other words, could there be regions where  $N_{HI}$  is only slightly smaller than  $N_l$  but  $N_B \ll N_{HI}$ ? We now give two arguments, one observational and one theoretical, to show that this is not the case.

We selected a few objects with low 21-cm surface brightness ( $N_B < 2 \times 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-2}$ ) observed as part of outer disks by CS (4 in M33 and 1 in NGC 4631) or as HVC by CTS. These are good candidates for a phenomenon of  $N_{HI} \gg N_B$ . In Figure 2 we show the lower limits to  $T_S$  calculated from the absence of 21-cm absorption (we assume a line width of 4 km/s except for one case in M33 where  $T_S > 300\text{K}$  and we use 8 km/s). The curves give the  $N_{HI} - T_S$  relation (see eq. (4)) for each selected object and the thick part of the curves displays the allowed values of  $N_{HI}$ . Since they are all on the vertical portion of the curve, where  $T_S \gg T_R$  and  $N_{HI} \simeq N_B$ , we can exclude that strong subthermal effects hold for these cases.

For the lowest extragalactic flux and pressure, namely Case A with  $E_c = 1.5$  or  $0.5$  keV and  $P_0/k = 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$ , we have calculated  $T_S$ . These small values of flux and pressure should give the largest difference between  $N_B$  and  $N_{HI}$ . Figure 3 shows the  $N_B - N_{HI}$  relation and we can see that no drastic depressions in the brightness are expected for  $N_B > 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (appreciably smaller than  $N_l$ ). Therefore this proves again that the surface brightness is still a good indicator of the neutral hydrogen column density where HI edges occur. Our conclusion that HI edges are not due to subthermal effects holds even more strongly if the background spectrum extends down to lower energies. Table 1 gives  $N_{HI}/N_B$ ,  $T_K$  and  $T_S$  for Case A with  $E_c = 1.5$  and  $0.5$  keV and for a few low values of  $P_0$ . Notice that the gas is always in the cold phase and as we increase the pressure  $T_S$  approaches  $T_K$ ,  $T_K$  decreases until reaches 12.5 K at very large  $P_0$ . This is the lowest possible temperature for our assumed metal abundance and it is reached when heating is provided by carbon ionization. For larger ionizing fluxes (e.g. Case C) we have larger values of  $T_K$  and of  $T_S$

with a consequent decrease of subthermal effects in emission. Values of  $T_S$  in Table 1 are already too large to allow substantial departures of  $N_B$  from  $N_{HI}$ , but they are smaller than those observed by CS and CTS (some of which are shown in Fig. 2). This implies extra heat sources in outer regions (Case B) or larger ionizing fluxes than we used for Case A (case C).

## 5. THE SPIN TEMPERATURE - HEAT RELATION

The flux assumed in Case A is unrealistically small and implies spin temperatures well below those observed. We confine therefore our attention to Case B and Case C which involve stronger energy inputs to the gas than Case A. In Case B the ionizing flux is fixed, the non radiative energy input rate  $E_{nr}$  varies, and  $T_S$  (as well as  $T_K$ ) are calculated. In Case C,  $E_{nr} = 0$  and the intensity of the ionizing flux for  $E > 0.1$  keV,  $I$ , varies. The results we show are useful for interpreting present and future HI absorption data in terms of heat inputs to the gas from local sources or, in the absence of these, from a cosmic background flux. Using the HI data we have available at the moment, we show that the heat input required from local sources,  $E_{nr}$ , is small and compatible with the absence of star formation in outer regions. If there are no local sources (Case C) a comparison between the observed and predicted spin temperature for a given HI column density sets interesting constraints on the intensity of the cosmic background radiation.

We know that for given  $P$  and  $T_K$  a medium with a lower ionization fraction in the cold phase has a lower cooling rate per atom (Spitzer 1978). This means that for a given  $T_K$  Case B requires a heating rate per atom (radiative plus non radiative) lower than Case C. However, because subthermal effects in Case B are stronger than in Case C, this inequality might not hold for a given  $T_S$ . Our results show that even when the external pressure is low and subthermal effects are strong, there is relatively little difference between the heat required in Case B and in Case C for a given  $T_S$ . Figure 4 plots  $E_{nr}$  versus  $T_S$  for Case B in the stable cold and warm phases (solutions requiring  $E_{nr} < 0$  have been discarded). For Case C, Figure 5 plots the parameter  $I$  in eq. (3) versus  $T_S$  for  $s = 2.4$ . Notice that for very small values of  $I$  the extragalactic radiation field is unimportant in the energy equation,  $T_S$  is constant and determined by the balance between the carbon heating and the line cooling. In Figure 6 we plot  $T_K$  versus  $T_S$  for all the cases shown in Figure 4 and

Figure 5. Notice that the warm phase can start with lower spin temperatures than the final part of the cold phase.

Figure 4 and 5 show  $E_{nr}$  or  $I$  versus  $T_S$  for three different values of  $N_{HI}$  and two values of the additional pressure  $P_0$ . As we increase the parameter  $P_0$ , and therefore the density, the heat input ( $E_{nr}$  or  $I$ ) required to reach a certain  $T_K$  increases but subthermal effects get smaller and  $T_S$  closer to  $T_K$ . The result for a given  $T_S$  is that  $E_{nr}$  or  $I$  increases rapidly with  $P_0$  if  $T_S$  is low. For high  $T_S$  the heat input required is almost independent of  $P_0$  and, if the density is not big enough that  $T_S$  still differs from  $T_K$ , it can increase with  $P_0$  (see for example Fig.5 for  $T_S \simeq 1000\text{K}$ ).

For Case C the existence of the cold and/or warm phase of HI depends mainly on the ratio of  $P$  (from eq. (7)) to the intensity  $I$ : for  $P/I < 3k$  there is no cold phase at all, for slightly larger values both phases coexist, and for  $P/I > 10k$  there is no warm phase. If the contribution to  $I$  from known quasars alone gives  $I \sim 5$ , the outermost regions of the HI disk, with column densities  $N_{HI} \sim 3 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , are likely to be all in the warm phase when  $z_{1/2} \sim 1 \text{ kpc}$ . This should be true even in the absence of  $E_{nr}$  or other additional ionizing fluxes (a warm phase with smaller  $z_{1/2}$  requires stronger background fluxes). This value of  $z_{1/2}$  is what we estimate from Merrifield (1992) for the outermost disk of the Milky Way. Therefore even if the outermost part of galactic HI disks are warm there is no strong evidence for the heat input in outer regions to be much larger than that given by Madau's flux. Figures 4,5 and 6 show a curious feature for Case B with low heat input ( $E_{nr} \lesssim 10^{-15} \text{ eV s}^{-1}$ ) and for Case C with  $I \lesssim 10$ : the hydrogen is all in the warm phase with  $T_K \sim 10^4\text{K}$  but the spin temperature is surprisingly low,  $T_S \lesssim 500\text{K}$ . Conversely, if observations should give  $T_S \gtrsim 1000\text{K}$  much larger ionizing fluxes and/or larger  $E_{nr}$  would be required.

We illustrate the use of Figures 4, 5, and 6 by applying them to the analysis of the observational data for the strongest background source behind the outer disk of M33 (the curve farthest to the right in Fig. 3). Here we have  $N_{HI} \simeq N_B \simeq 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  along the line of sight, and the absence of absorption gives  $T_S > 250 \text{ K}$  (Corbelli & Schneider 1990). The HI surface density perpendicular to the plane will be slightly smaller than the observed value due to the inclination of the outer disk respect to our line of sight (which is less than that of the inner disk but not completely negligible, see Corbelli *et al.* 1989). Fig. 4 (b) and 5 (b) show that we have possible solutions for both Case B and C with small heat input if  $P_0$  is reasonably small ( $P_0/k \lesssim 10$ , say). The minimum heat compatible with

$T_S \sim 250$  K for small pressures is similar for Case B and C and gives solutions in the warm phase with  $E_{nr} \simeq 5 \times 10^{-16}$  eV sec $^{-1}$  for Case B and  $I \simeq 6$  for Case C with  $s = 2.4$  and  $E_c = 0.1$  keV. This value of  $I$  can be achieved by quasars alone (Madau 1992) and this value of  $E_{nr}$  can be supplied by a mild outer galactic fountain (Corbelli & Salpeter 1988). For instance, one requires only 1% of the total galactic supernova energy output rate to flow with energy of  $\sim 10^5$  eV cm $^{-2}$  s $^{-1}$  over a disk of 30 kpc radius. If half of this energy is used for heating the disk gas layer below, then  $T_S \sim 500$  K for  $N_{HI} \approx 2$  or  $3 \times 10^{19}$  cm $^{-2}$ . Slightly smaller spin temperatures are predicted for a gas with lower  $N_{HI}$ . To summarize: the present limit of  $T_S > 250$ K is still compatible with present estimates of the quasar flux and/or a very mild outer galactic fountain, which are sufficient to keep a gas with  $N_{HI} \lesssim 3 \times 10^{19}$  cm $^{-2}$  in the warm phase. The spin temperatures are surprisingly low, compared to  $T_K \sim 10^4$ K (unless the energy input is extremely large).

Another reliable absorption measurement of 21-cm line outside the optical disk is in the spectrum of the quasar 3C232, at the velocity of the spiral galaxy NGC3067, close to the quasar on the plane of the sky. The width of this line (Rubin *et al.* 1982) implies that the absorbing material is HI in its cold phase with  $T_S \leq 300$  K and with  $N_{HI}^{cold} \leq 5.8 \times 10^{19}$ cm $^{-2}$  along the line of sight as derived from the strength of the absorption line. Emission measurements (Carilli & van Gorkom 1992) give a total observed HI column density of  $(8 \pm 4) \times 10^{19}$  cm $^{-2}$ ; assuming a modest inclination correction factor, it should be  $N_{HI} \sim 5 \times 10^{19}$ cm $^{-2}$ , so that Figures 4(c) and 5(c) apply. We can be in the narrow range of conditions where the warm and the cold HI phase coexist. If  $z_{1/2}$  is of order 1 kpc, as observed in the Milky Way, then  $P_0/k \sim 30$ ; in the vicinity of this pressure Fig. 5(c) gives a flux with  $I \sim 3(P_0/10k)^{0.5}$  and Figure 4(c) gives for Case B a heating rate  $E_{nr} \sim 5 \times 10^{-16}(P_0/10k)^{0.3}$  eV s $^{-1}$ . These are only order of magnitude relation, but they are compatible with the estimates given above for M33 and with likely quasar fluxes. Figure 4(c) and 5(c) also make predictions about the warm phase:  $T_S$  should be  $\sim 300 - 500$  K for Case B and only slightly larger for Case C. More than half of the HI should be in this phase and one might be able to observe a second absorption component with the peculiar combination of a low spin temperature and a large width,  $\omega \sim 20$  km s $^{-1}$ , corresponding to the larger  $T_K$ .



## 6. DARK MATTER DECAY AND HI TEMPERATURES IN OUTER REGIONS

The dark matter decay theory recently developed by Sciama (Sciama 1990, 1991), predicts a universe populated by heavy neutrinos which decay radiatively producing photons with energy  $E_\gamma = 13.6 + \epsilon$  with  $\epsilon \sim 1$  eV and a production rate proportional to the density of dark matter. If the local density of the gas is sufficiently high, these photons are produced and absorbed locally and they are the most important photons for the ionization of HI. In the outermost regions of galactic disks the local conditions are very different and the monochromatic photons, both extragalactic and local, can cause a sharp HI edge, even in the absence of quasar photons. This is discussed in Paper II. Here we again consider only the mostly neutral portion of the galactic disk, just inside the edge. We assume first a negligible background flux from quasars and no heat source besides the local neutrino decay photons. Due to the larger number of photons with energy close to 13.6 eV, the Lyman- $\alpha$  pumping will be very effective to bring  $T_S$  close to  $T_K$ . As source function for these photons we use the expression given by Sciama (1990) for our Galaxy:

$$\phi = \frac{5 \times 10^{-16}}{3 \times (1 + R/R_0)^2} \text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1} \quad (12)$$

The equation of state relates density and pressure; the ionization recombination equilibrium and the energy equation in the absence of any external flux are:

$$\frac{Px_H}{T_K(1 + x_H + h_{He})} = \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{\alpha_2}} \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{P}{T_K(1 + x_H + h_{He})} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\phi}{\alpha_2}} f_1 + (1 - x_H) \frac{P}{T_K(1 + x_H + h_{He})} f_2 \right] = \phi \epsilon \quad (14)$$

Combining these two equations we have a direct relation between  $P$  and  $T_K$ , which depends on the square root of the source function  $\phi$ , and on  $\epsilon$  through  $x_H$ . For  $R/R_0 = 3$  Figure 7(a) shows this relation and Figure 7(b) the corresponding fractional ionization  $x_H$ . Notice that the gas with low HI column density can survive the external flux in the neutral state only if its pressure is higher than  $4 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$ ; there is also a range of pressure which allows two different equilibrium temperatures. Absorbing the external flux,  $F_{ext}$  due to neutrino decay in the halo and in the rest of the Universe, requires a column density larger than  $N_{min} \equiv 2F_{ext}T_K/(P\alpha_2)$ , which is plotted as the thick curve in Fig. 7(c). The thin curve in the same Figure shows the maximum column density compatible with a given  $T_K$  (obtained

by setting  $P_0 = 0$ ). Therefore in the absence of any other heat source  $T_K \lesssim 500$  K and the region between the two curves indicates all the possible  $N_{HI} - T_K$  pairs.

If there are extra heat sources in the medium,  $T_K$  can be higher than the values shown in Figure 7 and the energy equation will be eq. (11) with the helium terms neglected and the heat from a quasar background replaced by the heat from the local monochromatic flux. The non radiative heat input which is needed is:

$$E_{nr} = \frac{P(1 - x_H)}{T_K(1 + x_H)} f_1 + \left[ f_2 + x_H f_3 + (1 - x_H) f_4 \right] \frac{\phi_\nu}{\alpha_2} - \epsilon \phi \frac{T_K(1 + x_H)}{P} \quad (15)$$

where  $x_H = \sqrt{\phi_\nu/\alpha_2}/(P/T_K - \sqrt{\phi_\nu/\alpha_2})$ .  $E_{nr}$  depends on  $N_{HI}$  only through the pressure term and therefore we can plot  $E_{nr}$  versus  $T_K$  for several values of  $P$  without using  $P_0$  and  $N_{HI}$  explicitly. Figure 8(a) shows the  $E_{nr} - T_K$  relation for  $P/k = 10, 100, 1000$   $\text{cm}^{-3}$  K. The extent of the curve is limited by the conditions  $E_{nr} > 0$ ,  $0 < x_H < 1$  and  $N_{min} < N_{HI} < N_{max}$  ( $N_{min}$  and  $N_{max}$  being defined as in Figure 7(c)). For the same values of  $P$ , Figure 8(b) shows  $N_{min}$  (thick curves) and  $N_{max}$  (thin curves) as functions of  $T_K$ . Suppose we have gas with  $N_{HI} \simeq 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and  $T_S > 500\text{K}$ ; then the minimum required extra heat will be  $E_{nr} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ eV s}^{-1}$  which is comparable to the  $E_{nr}$  required for the same column density by Case B, where there are no local monochromatic photons but a background at higher energies. For this case the minimum value of  $P/k$  needed for HI survival against the neutrino's external flux is  $\simeq 10 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  K. Higher column densities or higher pressures require slightly higher values of  $E_{nr}$ . These  $E_{nr}$  values are in reality upper limits since even a modest quasar background, which we have neglected, will contribute somewhat to the heat.

We return now to the two examples of spin temperature measurements. For  $N_{HI} \approx 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  as in M33, we only have the observational limit  $T_S > 250\text{K}$ . Figure 7(c) shows that this is compatible with neutrino decay theory even in the absence of extra heat sources. However for a surface density  $N_{HI} \approx 5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (as it might be in the case of NGC3067/3C232) Figure 7(c) gives  $T_S < 30\text{K}$  ( $T_K \simeq T_S$ ) whereas the observations showed a higher spin temperature and probably some warm HI. Non-ionizing heat sources are therefore required, but  $E_{nr}$  need not be larger than for Case B.

## 7. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper we have discussed HI emission and absorption studies at 21-cm wavelengths which can be used to constrain the spectrum of the extragalactic ionizing radiation below 1 keV, and more generally the rate of heat input outside the optical disk of galaxies. Taking into account self-gravity and considering only unreasonably small lower limit to the extragalactic flux (Case A) we have shown that the number of ionizations and collisions are sufficient to bring the spin temperature well above the background temperature  $T_R$  even in the absence of strong compression (due to coronal gas or dark matter). This means that the actual column density,  $N_{HI}$ , does not differ appreciably from the value  $N_B$ , inferred from the 21-cm brightness temperature at the periphery of galaxies. HI edges observed in outer disks around  $N_l \simeq 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (Corbelli *et al.* 1989; van Gorkom 1991), where  $N_B$  drops very rapidly below the minimum detectable value, or the small quantity of HVC gas with  $N_{HI} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , correspond then to real cut-offs in the neutral phase of the hydrogen distribution and not to a sudden decrease of the HI spin temperature. These edges are most likely due to ionization by the background radiation and occur when the total gas column density drops below a critical value. This phenomenon will be analyzed in Paper II.

We have carried out model calculations for both the gas kinetic temperature,  $T_K$ , and the HI spin temperature,  $T_S$ , for various values of gas density and extragalactic background fluxes. We consider a fairly narrow range of hydrogen column densities appropriate to outermost HI disks of spiral galaxies,  $N_{HI} \sim (0.5 \text{ to } 5) \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , which are most sensitive to photon energies  $\sim 0.1 \text{ keV}$ . We consider fluxes from below to above the current range of estimates of quasar backgrounds at zero redshifts. As in the classical “two-phase model” we find for these backgrounds  $T_K \sim 100\text{K}$  for the cold phase and  $T_K \sim 10^4\text{K}$  for the warm phase. Deviations from thermal equilibrium are still strong and  $T_S \ll T_K$  although  $T_S \gg 3\text{K}$  always. We have carried out calculations both with and without additional non-ionizing sources,  $E_{nr}$  (to model mild galactic fountains, hydromagnetic waves, etc., traveling from an inner disk to an outer disk). The relationships between  $E_{nr}$ ,  $N_{HI}$ ,  $T_S$ ,  $T_K$  and the intensity  $I$  of the background flux are given in Figures 4, 5, and 6.

The models depend not only on the intensity  $I$  (for  $E_{nr} = 0$ ), but also on an additional term  $P_0$ , with which we estimate the effective compression of the gas (dark matter compression versus expansion due to some internal non thermal pressure). If we assume that outermost

HI disks with  $N_{HI} \sim (2 \text{ to } 5) \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  have a vertical scale height  $z_{1/2} \sim 1 \text{ kpc}$ , as found for our Galaxy (Merrifield 1992), we obtain  $P_0 \sim (10 \text{ to } 30) \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$ . Our models predict that the HI gas in low column density regions should be in the warm phase but the gas in regions with column density of order  $5 \times 10^{19}$  (which have a slightly higher volume density) should be a mixture of cold and warm phases. We analyzed data from an outer region of M33 with  $N_{HI} \sim 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  where only an upper limit to the absorption was found (Corbelli & Schneider 1990) and one region in NGC3067 with  $N_{HI} \sim 5 \times 10^{19}$  where some absorption was detected (Carilli & van Gorkom 1992). The non detection of 21-cm absorption lines through the outer disk of M33, which is a relatively undisturbed HI disk, points out that a significant fraction of the HI gas is warm despite the absence of star-forming regions (in the inner, star-forming disk the fraction of cool HI for M33 is only slightly smaller than for the Milky Way; Dickey & Brinks 1993). This requires a cosmic background stronger than given by the extrapolation of the hard X-ray spectrum down to 0.1 keV. The absorption/emission study for NGC3067 suggests that both the warm and the cold HI phases are present at the slightly larger column density there.

We found that both observations are compatible with an intensity  $I$  of extragalactic photons at energies  $\sim 0.1 \text{ keV}$  roughly as estimated by Madau (1992) for the present day quasar background. If this extragalactic ionizing flux should turn out to be smaller, one can still explain the observed temperatures by adding a modest amount of non ionizing heat input  $E_{nr}$ . Similar heat inputs are required if one replaces the external ionizing flux by monochromatic UV photons produced locally by neutrino decay (Sciama 1990). Only if future observations will show that HI spin temperatures at the periphery of a galaxy are as large as 1000 K, would strong local heat sources be required in addition to a QSO ionizing background.

The warm HI phase of the ISM is a dominant component in outermost regions and we can make the following prediction: besides possible narrow HI absorption lines from any cold phase, the warm HI should have a spin temperature still very different from the kinetic one. Values of  $T_S \sim 500\text{K}$  should be common unless there are heat inputs, either from a background or from local sources, much stronger than what is now believed. This warm phase should produce an absorption line component with quite large width ( $\omega \sim 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), which is difficult to detect but would provide an interesting diagnostic because of the  $T_S - \omega$  mismatch.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to A. Ferrara, C. Giovanardi, R. Reynolds, M. Roberts, S. Schneider, D. Sciama, J. H. van Gorkom and to the referee for useful comments to the original manuscript. This work was supported in part by NSF grants AST 91-19475, INT 89-13558, and by the Agenzia Spaziale Italiana.

Table 1

## REFERENCES

- Bonilha, J. R. M., Ferch, R., Salpeter, E. E., & Slater, G. 1979, *ApJ*, 233, 649.
- Bowyer, S. 1991, *Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap.*, 29, 59.
- Brinks, E. 1990, in *The Interstellar Medium in Galaxies*, ed. H. A. Thronson & J. M. Shull (Dordrecht:Kluwer), p. 39.
- Brown, R. L. 1971, *ApJ*, 164, 387.
- Carilli, C. L., van Gorkom, J. H., & Stoke, J. T. 1989, *Nature*, 338, 134.
- Carilli, C. L., and van Gorkom, J. H. 1992, *ApJ*, 399, 373.
- Charlton, J. C., Salpeter, E. E., & Hogan, C. J. 1993, *ApJ*, 402, 493.
- Colgan, S. W. J., Salpeter, E. E., & Terzian, Y. 1990, *ApJ*, 351, 503.
- Corbelli, E., & Salpeter, E. E. 1988, *ApJ*, 326, 551.
- Corbelli, E., & Salpeter, E. E. 1993, *ApJ*, submitted (Paper II).
- Corbelli, E., Schneider, S. E., & Salpeter, E. E. 1989, *AJ*, 97, 390.
- Corbelli, E., & Schneider, S. E. 1990, *ApJ*, 356, 14.
- Deguchi, S. & Watson, W. D. 1985, *ApJ*, 290, 578.
- Dickey, J. M. & Brinks, E. 1993, *ApJ*, 405, 153.
- Ferriere, K. M., Zweibel, E. G., & Shull, J.M. 1988, *ApJ*, 332, 984.
- Field, G. B. 1958, *Proc. Inst. of Radio Engineers*, 46, 240.
- Giovanelli, R. 1980, *AJ*, 85, 1155.
- Giovanelli, R., & Haynes, M. P. 1988, in *Galactic and Extragalactic Radio Astronomy*, ed. G. L. Verschuur & K. I. Kellerman (New York: Springer-Verlag), p. 522.
- Giovanelli, R., & Haynes, M. P. 1991, *ApJ*, L5.
- Hasinger, G., Schmidt, M., & Trumper, J. 1991, *AA*, 246, L2.
- Hartwick, F. D. A., & Shade, D. 1990, *ARA&A*, 28, 437.
- Heiles, C. 1987, *ApJ*, 315, 555.
- Hoffman, G. L., Lu, N. Y., Salpeter, E. E., Farhat, B., Lamphier, & Roos T. 1993, *AJ*, submitted.
- Ibañez, S. M. H., & di Sigalotti, L. 1984, *ApJ*, 285, 784.
- Knapp, G. R. 1990, in *The Interstellar Medium in Galaxies*, ed. H. A. Thronson and J. M. Shull (Dordrecht:Kluwer), p. 3.
- Kulkarni, S. R., & Heiles, C. 1988, in *Galactic and Extragalactic Radio Astronomy*, ed. G. L. Verschuur and K. I. Kellerman (New York:Springer-Verlag), p. 95.

- MacLow, M. M., & McCray, R. 1988, ApJ, 324, 776.
- Madau, P. 1992, ApJ, 389, L1.
- Martin, C., & Bowyer, S. 1990, ApJ 350, 242.
- McCammon, D., & Sanders, W. T. 1990, ARA&, 28, 657.
- McKee, C.F., & Ostriker, J. P. 1977, ApJ 218, 148.
- Melott, A. L., McKay, D. W., & Ralston, J. P. 1988, ApJ, 324, L43.
- Merrifield, M. R., 1992, AJ, 103, 1552.
- Reynolds, R. J. 1990, ApJ, 348, 153.
- Rubin, V. C., Thonnard, N., & Ford, K. W. 1982, AJ 87, 477.
- Sargent, W. L. W., Young, P. J., Boksenberg, A., Carswell, R. F., & Whelan, J. A. J. 1979, ApJ, 230, 49.
- Schneider, S. E., Skrutskie, M. F., Hacking, P. B., Young, J. S., Dickman, R. L. Claussen, M. J., Salpeter, E. E., Houck, J. R., Terzian, Y., Lewis, B. M. & Shure, M. A. 1989, AJ, 97, 666.
- Schneider, S. E., & Corbelli, E. 1993, ApJ, 414, in press.
- Schwartz, D. A. 1978, *in X-ray Astronomy* ed. W. A. Baity and L. E. Peterson (Oxford: Pergamon) p. 453.
- Schwartz, D. A., & Tucker, W. H., 1988, ApJ, 332, 157.
- Sciama, D. W. 1990, ApJ, 364, 549.
- Sciama, D. W. 1991, A&A, 245, 243.
- Shanks, T., Georgantopoulos, I., Stewart, G. C., Pounds, K. A., Boyle, B. J. & Griffiths, R.E. 1991, Nature, 353, 315.
- Shull, J. M., & Van Steenberg, M. E. 1985, ApJ, 298, 268. 508.
- Smith, F. J. 1966, Planet. Space Sci., 14, L71.
- Spitzer, L. 1942, ApJ, 95, 329.
- Spitzer, L. 1978, *Physical Processes in The Interstellar Medium*, (Wiley: New York).
- van Gorkom, J. H. 1991, ASP Conference series n.16, (proceedings 3rd Haystack Observ. Conference on Atoms, Ions and Molecules, ed. A. D. Haschick and P. T. P. Ho), p. 1.
- Wakker, B. P., Vijfschaft, B., & Schwarz, U. J. 1991, A&A, 249, L5.
- Wu, X., Hamilton, T. T., Helfand, D. J., & Wang, Q. 1991, ApJ, 379, 564.
- Wu, X., & Anderson, S. F. 1992, AJ, 103, 1.



## FIGURE CAPTIONS

**Figure 1.** Some of the X-ray spectra used. At energies above 1.5 keV all the spectra have  $s = 1.4$   $I = 7.7$ , and the thick line shows this spectrum extended down to 0.1 keV as it has been used for Case B. The same spectrum has been used for Case A but with lower cut off energies at 1.5 or 0.5 keV. All the other lines are examples of spectra used for Case C and are labelled according to the corresponding values of  $I$  and  $s$  (see eq. (3)).

**Figure 2.** The  $T_S - N_{HI}$  relation for all the positive detections of HI with  $N_B \leq 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in CS (circles) and  $N_B \leq 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in CST (triangles). The thick parts of the curves show the possible pairings of  $N_{HI}$  and  $T_S$  for each data point according to the upper limits obtained from 21-cm absorption data.

**Figure 3.** Subthermal effects in emission: the column density derived directly from the brightness temperature,  $N_B$ , versus the real  $N_{HI}$  of the gas. We have used  $P_0 = 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}\text{K}$ , and a low external flux, namely Case A with  $E_c = 1.5 \text{ keV}$  (dotted line) or  $E_c = 0.5 \text{ keV}$  (dashed line). The solid line is the line of unity slope in the  $N_B - N_{HI}$  plane.

**Figure 4.** Heat per atom required for equilibrium in units of  $\text{eV s}^{-1}$  for Case B ( $E_c = 0.1 \text{ keV}$ ,  $s = 1.4$ ,  $I = 7.7$ ). It is shown as function of  $T_S$  in the stable cold and warm phases for two values of  $P_0$ . The filled triangles indicate the beginning of the two phases when  $P_0/k=1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$  (solid lines) and the open triangles when  $P_0/k = 100 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$  (dashed lines).  $N_{HI} = 5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in (a),  $2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in (b), and  $5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in (c).

**Figure 5.** The parameter  $I$  of the spectrum in eq. (3) as a function of  $T_S$  for Case C when  $s = 2.4$ . The filled triangles indicate the beginning of the cold and warm phase when  $P_0/k=1 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$  (solid lines) and the open triangles when  $P_0/k = 100 \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$  (dashed lines).  $N_{HI}$  is  $5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in (a) is  $2 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in (b) and is  $5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  in (c)

**Figure 6.** The kinetic temperature  $T_K - T_S$  relation for all cases shown in Fig. 4 and in Fig. 5. (a), (b), (c) are for Case B with  $N_{HI} = 5 \times 10^{18}$ ,  $2 \times 10^{19}$ , and  $5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  respectively. For the same values of  $N_{HI}$  (e), (f), (d) show the  $T_K - T_S$  relation for Case C with  $s = 2.4$  and  $E_c = 0.1 \text{ keV}$ . Lower curves in the panels are for the cold phases while curves at the top of the panels are for the warm phases.

**Figure 7.** In (a) and (b) we show the pressure and the hydrogen fractional ionization for a gas pervasive of monochromatic photons of 14.6 eV from decaying neutrinos and no other heat sources. The thin and thick curves in (c) show the maximum and minimum HI column density, respectively, which are compatible with the corresponding value of  $T_K$  (see text for details).

**Figure 8.** In (a) the extra heat per atom required by a gas with  $P = 10$  (continuous line),  $P = 100$  (small dashed line) and  $P = 1000$  (large dashed line)  $\text{cm}^{-3} \text{ K}$ , is shown as function of the kinetic temperature. In (b) thin and thick curves are the maximum and minimum HI column density respectively, which are compatible with a given value of  $T_K$  (see text for details).